



SDG 4 Lesotho COUNTRY PROFILE



























List of SDG 4 global indicators

Primary and secondary education	•		re that all girls and boys complete free, equitable and quality primary and secondary evant and effective learning outcomes
Learning	<u>4.1.1</u>	1.	Proportion of children and young people (a) in Grade 2 or 3; (b) at the end of primary education; and (c) at the end of lower secondary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (i) reading and (ii) mathematics, by sex
Early Childhoo	A -		re that all girls and boys have access to quality early childhood development, care and pre- at they are ready for primary education
Readiness for primary school	4.2.1	8.	Proportion of children under 5 years of age who are developmentally on track in health, learning and psychosocial well-being, by sex
Participation	4.2.2	10.	Participation rate in organized learning (one year before the official primary entry age), by sex
TVET and Higher Education	_		re equal access for all women and men to affordable quality technical, vocational and ling university
Participation	4.3.1	15.	Participation rate of youth and adults in formal and non-formal education and training in the previous 12 months, by sex
Skills for work	_		stantially increase the number of youth and adults who have relevant skills, including skills, for employment, decent jobs and entrepreneurship
Skills	4.4.1	16.2	Proportion of youth and adults with information and communications technology (ICT) skills, by type of skill
Equity	vocationa		nate gender disparities in education and ensure equal access to all levels of education and e vulnerable, including persons with disabilities, indigenous peoples and children in
Policy	<u>4.5.1</u>		Parity indices (female/male, rural/urban, bottom/top wealth quintile and others such as disability status, indigenous peoples and conflict-affected, as data become available) for all education indicators on this list that can be disaggregated
Literacy and Numeracy	_	By 2030, ensured numeracy	re that all youth and aa substantial proportion of adults, both men and women, achieve
Skills	<u>4.6.1</u>	22.	Percentage of population in a given age group achieving at least a fixed level of proficiency in functional (a) literacy and (b) numeracy skills, by sex
Global Citizenship	including gender ed	among others quality, promot	tre all learners acquire knowledge and skills needed to promote sustainable development, through education for sustainable development and sustainable lifestyles, human rights, ion of a culture of peace and non-violence, global citizenship, and appreciation of cultural s contribution to sustainable development
Provision	4.7.1	25.	Extent to which (i) global citizenship education and (ii) education for sustainable development, including gender equality and human rights, are mainstreamed at all levels in: (a) national education policies, (b) curricula, (c) teacher education and (d) student assessment
School Environment	_		rrade education facilities that are child, disability and gender sensitive and provide safe, no ective learning environments for all
Resources		31.	Proportion of schools with access to: (a) electricity; (b) Internet for pedagogical purposes; and (c) computers for pedagogical purposes
	4.a.1	32.	Proportion of schools with access to: (d) adapted infrastructure and materials for students with disabilities
		30.	Proportion of schools with access to: (e) basic drinking water; (f) single-sex basic sanitation facilities; and (g) basic handwashing facilities (as per the WASH indicator definitions)
Scholarships	particular education	least develope , including voc	stantially expand globally the number of scholarships available to developing countries, in ad countries, small island developing States and African countries, for enrolment in higher actional training, information and communications technology, technical, engineering and a developed countries and other developing countries
Numbers	<u>4.b.1</u>	36.	Volume of official development assistance flows for scholarships by sector and type of study
Teachers	•	on for teacher	stantially increase the supply of qualified teachers, including through international training in developing countries, especially least developed countries and small island
Trained	4.c.1	39.	Proportion of teachers in: (a) pre-primary education; (b) primary education; (c) lower secondary education; and (d) upper secondary education who have received at least the minimum organized teacher training (e.g., pedagogical training) pre-service or in-service required for teaching at the relevant level in a given country, by sex



SDG 4 Lesotho

AT A GLANCE

	Primary and secondary education	_	By 2030, ensure that all girls and bo relevant and effective learning outc	-		y pr	imary and secondary education	
			Proportion of children and young people (a) in Grade 2 or 3 achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (i) reading MF	Source	Proportion of children and young people (a) in Grade 2 or 3 achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (i) reading M	Source	Proportion of children and young people (a) in Grade 2 or 3 achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (i) reading F	Source
			Read.G2t3		Read.G2t3.M		Read.G2t3.F	
		m	m		m		m	
			Proportion of children and young people (b) at the end of primary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (i) reading MF	Source	Proportion of children and young people (b) at the end of primary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (i) reading	Source	Proportion of children and young people (b) at the end of primary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (i) reading	Source
			Read.Primary		Read.Primary.M		Read.Primary.F	
		2010	78.4	4	80.6	4	75.6	4
Learning (4.1.1)			Proportion of children and young people (c) at the end of lower secondary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (i) reading MF	Source	Proportion of children and young people (c) at the end of lower secondary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (i) reading M	Source	Proportion of children and young people (c) at the end of lower secondary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (i) reading	Source
			Read.LowerSec		Read.LowerSec.M		Read.LowerSec.F	
		m	m		m		m	
			Proportion of children and young people (a) in Grade 2 or 3 achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (ii) mathematics MF	Source	Proportion of children and young people (a) in Grade 2 or 3 achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (ii) mathematics M	Source	Proportion of children and young people (a) in Grade 2 or 3 achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (ii) mathematics	Source
			Math.G2t3		Math.G2t3.M		Math.G2t3.F	
Sources: ERCE ⁵ .		m	m		m		m	
Sources: ERCE ⁵ , PASEC ¹ , PIRLS ² , PISA ³ , SACMEQ ⁴ and TIMSS ⁶			Proportion of children and young people (b) at the end of primary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (ii) mathematics MF	Source	Proportion of children and young people (b) at the end of primary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (ii) mathematics M	Source	Proportion of children and young people (b) at the end of primary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (ii) mathematics	Source
			Math.Primary		Math.Primary.M		Math.Primary.F	
		2010	58.1	4	58.7	4	57.3	4
			Proportion of children and young people (c) at the end of lower secondary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (ii) mathematics MF	Source	Proportion of children and young people (c) at the end of lower secondary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (ii) mathematics M	Source	Proportion of children and young people (c) at the end of lower secondary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (ii) mathematics	Source
			Math.LowerSec		Math.LowerSec.M		Math.LowerSec.F	
		m	m		m		m	

	Early Childhood	education	so that they are ready for primary e	duc	ation	ood	development, care and pre-primary	
	Readiness for primary school (4.2.1)		Proportion of children under 5 years of age who are developmentally on track in health, learning and psychosocial well-being	Qualifier				
	(Sources: MICS, DHS and		On track 3 domains, MF					
Special Development Courses	UNICEF. Data are analysed by		OnTrack.three.domains					
TARLY CHILDHOOD DEVELOPMENT	the UNICEF)	m	m					
	Participation (4.2.2)		Participation rate in organized learning (one year before the official primary entry age) Adjusted net enrolment rate, AgM1, MF	Qualifier	Participation rate in organized learning (one year before the official primary entry age) Adjusted net enrolment rate, AgM1, M	Qualifier	Participation rate in organized learning (one year before the official primary entry age) Adjusted net enrolment rate, AgM1, F	Qualifier
	(Source: UIS)		NERA.AGM1.cp		NERA.AGM1.M.cp		NERA.AGM1.F.cp	
		2015	38.2		37.7		38.8	

signature Development Gap		Target 4.3 By 2030, ensure equal access for all women and men to affordable quality technical, vocational adultation, including university Participation rate of youth and adults \(\int \) Participation rate of youth and youth any youth and youth any								
FOUNT ACCESS TO THE THEFTING AND AUGUST EXCENSION	Participation			Qualifier			Participation rate of youth and adults in formal and non-formal education and training in the previous 12 months, F			
	<u>(4.3.1)</u>									
Source: Eurostat		m	m		m		m			

	Skills for work	_	By 2030, substantially increase the skills, for employment, decent jobs	and	d entrepreneurship			
**************************************	<u>Skills</u> (4.4.1)		Proportion of youth and adults with information and communications technology (ICT) skills, by type of skill Who have copied or moved a file or folder	Qualifier	Proportion of youth and adults with information and communications technology (ICT) skills, by type of skill Who have connected and installed new devices	Qualifier	Proportion of youth and adults with information and communications technology (ICT) skills, by type of skill Who have created electronic presentations with presentation software	Qualifier
			yadult.porcentlCTskill.copi		yadult.porcentlCTskill.connec		yadult.porcentlCTskill.creat	
Sources: Eurostat		Latest year	m		m		m	
and ITU			m		m		m	

	Equity										
			Proportion of children and young people (a) in grades 2/3 of primary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (i) reading Parity Index (Girls/Boys)	Source	Proportion of children and young people (b) at the end of primary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (i) reading Parity Index (Girls/Boys)	Source	Proportion of children and young people (c) at the end of lower secondary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (i) reading Parity Index (Girls/Boys)	Source			
I	Latest ye		m		2010		m				
			m		0.94	4	m				
			Proportion of children and young people (a) in grades 2/3 of primary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (ii) mathematics Parity Index (Girls/Boys)	Source	Proportion of children and young people (b) at the end of primary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (ii) mathematics Parity Index (Girls/Boys)	Source	Proportion of children and young people (c) at the end of lower secondary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (ii) mathematics Parity Index (Girls/Boys)	Source			
		Latest year	m		2010		m				
			m		0.98	4	m				



Sources: The sources for these indexes are the same as for those indicators that compose the indexes.

Policy (4.5.1)

	Percentage of population in a given age group achieving at least a fixed level of proficiency in functional (a) literacy by sex Gender Parity Index		Percentage of population in a given age group achieving at least a fixed level of proficiency in functional (a) literacy by sex Geographic Location Parity Index	Qualifier	Percentage of population in a given age group achieving at least a fixed level of proficiency in functional (a) literacy by sex Parity Index (Low Socio-Economic Status/High Socio-Economic Status)	Qualifier
	yadult.profiliteracy.sex		yadult.profiliteracy.loc		yadult.profiliteracy.sta	
Latest year	· m		m		m	
	m		m		m	
	Percentage of population in a given age group achieving at least a fixed level of proficiency in functional (b) numeracy skills by sex Gender Parity Index	Qualifier	Percentage of population in a given age group achieving at least a fixed level of proficiency in functional (b) numeracy skills by sex Parity Index (Low Socio-Economic Status/High Socio-Economic Status)	Qualifier	Percentage of population in a given age group achieving at least a fixed level of proficiency in functional (b) numeracy skills by sex Geographic Location Parity Index	Qualifier
	yadult.profinumeracy.sex		yadult.profinumeracy.sta		yadult.profinumeracy.loc	
Latest year	m		m		m	
	m		m		m	
	Percentage of teachers who are trained Pre-primary, Gender Parity Index	Qualifier	Percentage of teachers who are trained Primary, Gender Parity Index	Qualifier	Percentage of teachers who are trained Total secondary, Gender Parity Index	Qualifier
			TDTD 4		TRTP.2t3.qpi	
	TRTP.02.gpi		TRTP.1.gpi		TRTF.2t3.gpt	_
Latest year	TRTP.02.gpi 2015		2015		2015	

	Literacy and Numeracy	Target 4.6 By 2030, ensure that all youth and a substantial proportion of adults, both men and women, achieve literacy and numeracy								
Guidan Directorary of the Control of			Percentage of population in a given age group achieving at least a fixed level of proficiency in functional (a) literacy MF		Percentage of population in a given age group achieving at least a fixed level of proficiency in functional (a) literacy M	ual	Percentage of population in a given age group achieving at least a fixed level of proficiency in functional (a) literacy F	Qualifier		
		yadult.profiliteracy								
	Ckillo	m	m		m		m			
88 00	<u>Skills</u> (4.6.1)		Percentage of population in a given age group achieving at least a fixed level of proficiency in functional (b) numeracy skills		Percentage of population in a given age group achieving at least a fixed level of proficiency in functional (b) numeracy skills	Qualifier	Percentage of population in a given age group achieving at least a fixed level of proficiency in functional (b) numeracy skills	Qualifier		
			MF		М		F			
Sources: PIAAC.			yadult.profinumeracy							
STEP		m	m		m		m			

BUCATION ITS SECTIONAL ESTATEMENT AND AGUL OTCHESSES	Global Citizenship	Target 4.7 By 2030, ensure all learners acquire knowledge and skills needed to promote sustain development, including among others through education for sustainable development and sustainable lifestyles, human rights, gender equ promotion of a culture of peace and non-violenc global citizenship, and appreciation of cultural diversity and of culture's contribution to sustain development						
	Provision (4.7.1)		Extent to which (i) global citizenship education and (ii) education for sustainable development, including gender equality and human rights, are mainstreamed at all levels in: (a) national education policies, (b) curricula, (c) teacher education and (d) student assessment)				
			§§					
			m					

§§ : Please note that this indicator is still in development and will not be available until the end of 2017.

	School Environment		Build and upgrade education faciliti			r ser	nsitive and provide safe, non-viole	ent,
	Environment	inclusive a	Percentage of primary schools with access to: (a) electricity (%) Primary	Qualifier	Proportion of schools with access to: (b) Internet for pedagogical purposes; Primary	Qualifier	Proportion of schools with access to: (c) computers for pedagogical purposes Primary	Qualifier
			SchBSP.1.Pu.Welec		SchBSP.1.Pu.WIntern		SchBSP.1.Pu.Wcomput	
		Latest year	2015		m		m	
			13.5		m		m	
			Percentage of lower secondary schools with access to: (a) electricity (%) Lower Secondary	Qualifier	Proportion of schools with access to: (b) Internet for pedagogical purposes; Lower Secondary	Qualifier	Proportion of schools with access to: (c) computers for pedagogical purposes Lower secondary	Qualifier
			SchBSP.2.Pu.Welec		SchBSP.2.Pu.WIntern		SchBSP.2.Pu.Wcomput	
		Latest year	2010		m		2013	
			48.5		m		47	
			Percentage of upper secondary schools with access to: (a) electricity (%) Upper secondary	Qualifier	Proportion of schools with access to: (b) Internet for pedagogical purposes; Upper secondary	Qualifier	Proportion of schools with access to: (c) computers for pedagogical purposes Upper secondary	Qualifier
			SchBSP.3.Pu.Welec		SchBSP.3.Pu.WIntern		SchBSP.3.Pu.Wcomput	
		Latest year	m		m		m	
			m		m		m	
cathorable Development Gear			Percentage of total secondary schools with access to: (a) electricity (%) Total secondary	Qualifier	Proportion of schools with access to: (b) Internet for pedagogical purposes; Total secondary	Qualifier	Proportion of schools with access to: (c) computers for pedagogical purposes Total secondary	Qualifier
SCHOOL INFRAST RUCTURE			SchBSP.2t3.Pu.Welec		SchBSP.2t3.Pu.WIntern		SchBSP.2t3.Pu.Wcomput	
		Latest year	m		m		m	
1	Resources	,	m		m		m	
	(4.a.1)			_				-
			Proportion of schools with access to: (d) adapted infrastructure and materials for students with disabilities Primary	Qualifier	Proportion of schools with access to: (d) adapted infrastructure and materials for students with disabilities Lower Secondary	Qualifier	Proportion of schools with access to: (d) adapted infrastructure and materials for students with disabilities Upper Secondary	Qualifier
			§§		§§		§§	
		m	m		m		m	
Source: UIS			Percentage of primary schools with access to: (e) potable water (%)	Qualifier	Percentage of primary schools with (f) single-sex toilets (%)	Qualifier	Percentage of primary schools with (g) hand washing facilities (%)	Qualifier
			SchBSP.1.Pu.WPoWat		SchBSP.1.Pu.WSToil		SchBSP.1.Pu.WHF	
		Latest year	2015		2015		m	
			100		96.1		m	
			Percentage of lower secondary schools with access to: (e) potable water (%)	Qualifier	Percentage of lower secondary schools with (f) single-sex toilets (%)	Qualifier	Percentage of lower secondary schools with (g) hand washing facilities (%)	Maillei
			SchBSP.2.Pu.WPoWat		SchBSP.2.Pu.WSToil		SchBSP.2.Pu.WHF	
		Latest year	2010		m		m	
			58.3		m		m	
			Percentage of upper secondary schools with access to: (e) potable water (%)	Qualifier	Percentage of upper secondary schools with (f) single-sex toilets (%)	Qualifier	Percentage of upper secondary schools with (g) hand washing facilities (%)	Qualifor
			SchBSP.3.Pu.WPoWat		SchBSP.3.Pu.WSToil		SchBSP.3.Pu.WHF	
		Latest year	m		m		m	
			m		m		m	Τ

Standbe Development (in 1)	Scholarships	number of s countries, in small island for enrolmen vocational to technology,	y 2020, substantially expand globally t cholarships available to developing particular least developed countries, developing States and African countri- nt higher education, including raining, information and communicatio technical, engineering and scientific s in developed countries and other countries	es,
	Numbers (4.b.1)		Volume of official development assistance flows for scholarships by sector and type of study (Constant Prices - 2014 USD)	Qualifier
	<u>,,,,,,,</u>		odaflow.volumescholarship	
Source : OECD		2014	660925	

	Teachers	_	c By 2030, substantially increase th her training in developing countries		***	•	•	or
			Percentage of trained teachers, Pre-primary, MF	Qual.	Percentage of trained teachers, Preprimary, M	Qual.	Percentage of trained teachers, Pre- primary, F	Qual.
			TRTP.02		TRTP.02.M		TRTP.02.F	
		2015	100		100		100	
			Percentage of trained teachers, Primary, MF	Qual.	Percentage of trained teachers, Primary, M	Qual.	Percentage of trained teachers, Primary, F	Qual.
			TRTP.1		TRTP.1.M		TRTP.1.F	
Subdinable Development Goal		2015	79.2		71.3		81.7	
TEACHERS	Trained		Percentage of trained teachers, Lower secondary, MF	Qual.	Percentage of trained teachers, Lower secondary, M	Qual.	Percentage of trained teachers, Lower secondary, F	Qual.
	(4.c.1)		TRTP.2		TRTP.2.M		TRTP.2.F	
		m	m		m		m	
			Percentage of trained teachers, Upper secondary, MF	Qual.	Percentage of trained teachers, Upper secondary, M	Qual.	Percentage of trained teachers, Upper secondary, F	Qual.
Source: UIS			TRTP.3		TRTP.3.M		TRTP.3.F	
		m	m		m		m	
			Percentage of trained teachers, Total secondary, MF	Qual.	Percentage of trained teachers, Total secondary, M	Qual.	Percentage of trained teachers, Total secondary, F	Qual.
			TRTP.2t3		TRTP.2t3.M		TRTP.2t3.F	
		2015	100		100		100	

Legend

- * : National estimation ** : UIS estimation
- m: data not reported / not deemed publishable

- z : category not applicable
 0 : nil or negligible
 §\$: Indicator is not available yet.









4.1.1 PROPORTION OF CHILDREN AND YOUNG PEOPLE (A) IN GRADE 2 OR 3; (B) AT THE END OF PRIMARY EDUCATION; AND (C) AT THE END OF LOWER SECONDARY EDUCATION ACHIEVING AT LEAST A MINIMUM PROFICIENCY LEVEL IN (I) READING AND (II) MATHEMATICS, BY SEX

Definition:

Percentage of children and young people in Grade 2 or 3 of primary education, at the end of primary education and the end of lower secondary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (a) reading and (b) mathematics. The minimum proficiency level will be measured relative to new common reading and mathematics scales currently in development.

Minimum proficiency level is the benchmark of basic knowledge in a domain (mathematics or reading) measured through learning assessments.

The indicator shows data published by each of the agencies and organizations specialised in cross-national learning assessments. Unless otherwise indicated, the same cut-off level is used for both reading and mathematics in a given learning assessment.

Purpose

The indicator is a direct measure of the learning outcomes achieved in the two subject areas at the end of the relevant stages of education

http://sdg4monitoring.uis.unesco.org http://tellmaps.com/sdg4/#!/topic/TARGETS



Target 4.1 By 2030, ensure that all girls and boys complete free, equitable and quality primary and secondary education leading to relevant and effective learning outcomes

		SDG4 4.1.1								
Year	Proportion of children and young people (a) in Grade 2 or 3 of primary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (i) reading MF		Proportion of children and young people (a) in Grade 2 or 3 of primary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (i) reading	Source	Proportion of children and young people (a) in Grade 2 or 3 of primary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (i) reading F	Source				
Lesotho	Read.G2t3		Read.G2t3.M		Read.G2t3.F					
2010	m		m		m					
2011	m		m		m					
2012	m		m		m					
2013	m		m		m					
2014	m		m		m					
2015 ³	m		m		m					
2015 ⁶	m		m		m					

Note: Results for this indicator should only be compared within the same type of learning assessment. For example, PISA results with PISA, TIMSS results with TIMSS, etc.

Sources: ERCE^5 , PASEC^1 , PIRLS^2 , PISA^3 , SACMEQ^4 and TIMSS^6

Year	education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (i) reading MF		Proportion of children and young people b) at the end of primary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (i) reading M		Proportion of children and young people b) at the end of primary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (i) reading F	
Lesotho	Read.Primary		Read.Primary.M		Read.Primary.F	
2010	78.4	4	80.6	4	75.6	4
2011	m		m		m	
2012	m		m		m	
2013	m		m		m	
2014	m		m		m	
2015 ³	m		m		m	
2015 ⁶	m		m		m	

Note: Results for this indicator should only be compared within the same type of learning assessment. For example, PISA results with PISA, TIMSS results with TIMSS, etc. For some countries, the measurement point does not correspond exactly to the end of primary but is one grade higher or lower.

Sources: ERCE⁵, PASEC¹, PIRLS², PISA³, SACMEQ⁴ and TIMSS⁶

	SDG4 4.1.1							
Year	Proportion of children and young people (c) at the end of lower secondary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (i) reading MF		people (c) at the end of lower condary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (i) reading people (c) at the end of lower secondary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (i) reading		Source	Proportion of children and young people (c) at the end of lower secondary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (i) reading F	Source	
Lesotho	Read.LowerSec		Read.LowerSec.M		Read.LowerSec.F			
2010	m		m		m			
2011	m		m		m			
2012	m		m		m			
2013	m		m		m			
2014	m		m		m			
2015 ³	m		m		m			
2015 ⁶	m		m		m			

Note: Results for this indicator should only be compared within the same type of learning assessment. For example, PISA results with PISA, TIMSS results with TIMSS, etc. For some countries, the measurement point does not correspond exactly to the end of lower secondary, but is one grade higher or lower.

Sources: ERCE⁵, PASEC¹, PIRLS², PISA³, SACMEQ⁴ and TIMSS⁶

Legend

m: data not reported / not deemed publishable

0 : nil or negligible



Target 4.1 By 2030, ensure that all girls and boys complete free, equitable and quality primary and secondary education leading to relevant and effective learning outcomes

			SDG4 4.1.1			
Year	Proportion of children and young people (a) in Grade 2 or 3 of primary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (b) mathematics MF	Source	Proportion of children and young people (a) in Grade 2 or 3 of primary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (b) mathematics M	Source	Proportion of children and young people (a) in Grade 2 or 3 of primary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (b) mathematics F	Source
Lesotho	Math.G2t3		Math.G2t3.M		Math.G2t3.F	
2010	m		m		m	
2011	m		m		m	
2012	m		m		m	
2013	m		m		m	
2014	m		m		m	
2015 ³	m		m		m	
2015 ⁶	m		m		m	

Note: Results for this indicator should only be compared within the same type of learning assessment. For example, PISA results with PISA, TIMSS results with TIMSS, etc.

Sources: ERCE⁵, PASEC¹, PIRLS², PISA³, SACMEQ⁴ and TIMSS⁶

	SDG4 4.1.1							
Year	Proportion of children and young people b) at the end of primary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (ii) mathematics MF	Source	Proportion of children and young people b) at the end of primary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (ii) mathematics	Source	Proportion of children and young people b) at the end of primary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (ii) mathematics	Source		
Lesotho	Math.Primary		Math.Primary.M		Math.Primary.F			
2010	58.1	4	58.7	4	57.3	4		
2011	m		m		m			
2012	m		m		m			
2013	m		m		m			
2014	m		m		m			
2015 ³	m		m		m			
2015 ⁶	m		m		m			

Note: Results for this indicator should only be compared within the same type of learning assessment. For example, PISA results with PISA, TIMSS results with TIMSS, etc. For some countries, the measurement point does not correspond exactly to the end of primary but is one grade higher or lower.

Sources: ERCE⁵, PASEC¹, PIRLS², PISA³, SACMEQ⁴ and TIMSS⁶

	SDG4 4.1.1							
Year	Proportion of children and young people (c) at the end of lower secondary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (ii) mathematics MF	Source	Proportion of children and young people (c) at the end of lower secondary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (ii) mathematics	Source	Proportion of children and young people (c) at the end of lower secondary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (ii) mathematics	Source		
Lesotho	Math.LowerSec		Math.LowerSec.M		Math.LowerSec.F			
2010	m		m		m			
2011	m		m		m			
2012	m		m		m			
2013	m		m		m			
2014	m		m		m			
2015 ³	m		m		m			
2015 ⁶	m		m		m			

Note: Results for this indicator should only be compared within the same type of learning assessment. For example, PISA results with PISA, TIMSS results with TIMSS, etc. For some countries, the measurement point does not correspond exactly to the end of lower secondary, but is one grade higher or lower.

Sources: ERCE⁵, PASEC¹, PIRLS², PISA³, SACMEQ⁴ and TIMSS⁶

Legend

m : data not reported / not deemed publishable

0 : nil or negligible









4.2.1 PROPORTION OF CHILDREN UNDER 5 YEARS OF AGE WHO ARE DEVELOPMENTALLY ON TRACK IN HEALTH, LEARNING AND PSYCHOSOCIAL WELL-BEING, BY SEX

The MICS ECDI presently defines "on track" as the percentage of children aged 36-59 months who developmentally on-track in at least three of the following four domains: literacy-numeracy, physical, socio-emotional and learning.

Other measures use different definitions, with varying empirically and conceptually driven perspectives on how best to define "on track".

There is not yet a globally-accepted definition of "developmentally on track."

At present, the MICS Early Childhood Development Index defines "on track" as a child is developmentally on track in literacynumeracy if they can identify at least 10 letters of the alphabet, read 4 simple words and recognise and name all numbers from 1 to 10.

A child is developmentally on-track physically if they can pick up small objects easily and are generally well enough to play. A child is developmentally on-track in socio-emotional development if they are able to undertake simple activities

independently, get along with other children and do not usually kick, bite or hit other children or adults.

A child is developmentally on-track in learning if they participate in any type of organized learning including early childhood education, kindergarten or community care.

However, this definition is not universally accepted, and other measures use alternative definitions of "on track."

Purpose:

The indicator is a broad measure of children's development and their preparedness to begin school. Available data for global tracking are typically collected from individual-level data through direct assessment of children in many regional or national-level assessments, or reported by mothers/primary caregivers or teachers, which are then used to calculate an indicator that represents a composite measure across a range of agreed characteristics in the areas of health, learning and psychosocial well-being.

4.2.2 PARTICIPATION RATE IN ORGANIZED LEARNING (ONE YEAR BEFORE THE OFFICIAL PRIMARY ENTRY AGE), BY SEX

Definition:

Percentage of children in the given age range who participate in one or more organized learning programme, including programmes which offer a combination of education and care. Participation in early childhood education and in primary education are both included. The age range will vary by country depending on the official age for entry to primary education.

An organized learning programme is one which consists of a coherent set or sequence of educational activities designed with the intention of achieving pre-determined learning outcomes or the accomplishment of a specific set of educational tasks. Early childhood and primary education programmes are examples of organized learning programmes.

Early childhood and primary education are defined in the 2011 revision of the International Standard Classification of Education (ISCED 2011). Early childhood education is typically designed with a holistic approach to support children's early cognitive, physical, social and emotional development and to introduce young children to organized instruction outside the family context. Primary education offers learning and educational activities designed to provide students with fundamental skills in reading, writing and mathematics and establish a solid foundation for learning and understanding core areas of knowledge and personal development. It focuses on learning at a basic level of complexity with little, if any, specialisation.

The official primary entry age is the age at which children are obliged to start primary education according to national legislation or policies.

Where more than one age is specified, for example, in different parts of a country, the most common official entry age (i.e. the age at which most children in the country are expected to start primary) is used for the calculation of this indicator at the global level.

Purpose:

The indicator measures children's exposure to organized learning activities in the year prior to the start of primary school.

Additional information

http://sdg4monitoring.uis.unesco.org http://tellmaps.com/sdg4/#!/topic/TARGETS



Target 4.2 By 2030, ensure that all girls and boys have access to quality early childhood development, care and pre-primary education so that they are ready for primary education

	SDG4 4.2.1	
Year	Proportion of children under 5 years of age who are developmentally on track in health, learning and psychosocial well-being.	Qualifier
Lesotho	OnTrack.three.domains	
2010	m	
2011	m	
2012	m	
2013	m	
2014	m	
2015	m	
2016	m	

Sources: ECDI

	SDG4 4.2.2						
Year	Participation rate in organized learning (one year before the official primary entry age) MF		Participation rate in organized learning (one year before the official primary entry age)		Participation rate in organized learning (one year before the official primary entry age) F	Qualifier	
Lesotho	NERA.AGM1.cp		NERA.AGM1.M.cp		NERA.AGM1.F.cp		
2010	m		m	•	m		
2011	m		m		m		
2012	m		m		m		
2013	40.3		39.2		41.6		
2014	33.6	32.9		34.2			
2015	38.2	37.7		38.8			
2016	m	m m		m	ŀ		

Source: UIS

Legend

* : National estimation

** : UIS estimation

m: data not reported / not deemed publishable

z : category not applicable

0 : nil or negligible









4.3.1 Participation rate of youth and adults in formal and non-formal education and training in the previous 12 months, by sex

Definition:

- Percentage of youth and adults in a given age range (e.g. 15-24 years, 25-64 years, etc.) participating in formal or non-formal education or training in a given time period (e.g. last 12 months).
- Formal education and training is defined as education provided by the system of schools, colleges, universities and other formal educational institutions that normally constitutes a continuous 'ladder' of full-time education for children and young people, generally beginning at the age of 5 to 7 and continuing to up to 20 or 25 years old. In some countries, the upper parts of this 'ladder' are organized programmes of joint part-time employment and part-time participation in the regular school and university system.
- Non-formal education and training is defined as any organized and sustained learning activities that do not correspond exactly to the above definition of formal education. Non-formal education may therefore take place both within and outside educational institutions and cater to people of all ages. Depending on national contexts, it may cover educational programmes to impart adult literacy, life-skills, work-skills, and general culture.

Purpose:

■ To show the level of participation of youth and adults in education and training of all types.

A LAND	Target 4.3 By 2030, ensure equal access for all women and men to affordable quality technical, vocational and tertiary education, including university							
			SDG4 4.3.1					
Year	Participation rate of youth and adults in formal and non-formal education and training in the previous 12 months, by sex. MF	Qualifier	Participation rate of youth and adults in formal and non-formal education and training in the previous 12 months, by sex.	Qualifier	Participation rate of youth and adults in formal and non-formal education and training in the previous 12 months, by sex.	Qualifier		
Lesotho								
2010	m		m		m			
2011	m		m		m			
2012	m		m		m			
2013	m		m		m			
2014	m		m		m			
2015	m		m		m			
2016	m		m		m			

Source: Eurostat

Legend

m: data not reported / not deemed publishable 0: nil or negligible









4.4.1 Proportion of youth/adults with information and communications technology (ICT) skills, by type of skill

Definition:

Percentage of youth (aged 15-24 years) and adults (aged 15 years and above) that have undertaken

certain computer-related activities in a given time period (e.g. last three months).

Computer-related activities to measure ICT skills include:

- · Copying or moving a file or folder
- Using copy and paste tools to duplicate or move information within a document
- Sending e-mails with attached files (e.g. document, picture, video)
- · Using basic arithmetic formulae in a spreadsheet
- Connecting and installing new devices (e.g. modem, camera, printer)
- Finding, downloading, installing and configuring software
- · Creating electronic presentations with presentation software (including text, images, sound, video or charts)
- Transferring files between a computer and other devices
- Writing a computer program using a specialised programming language

A computer refers to a desktop computer, a laptop (portable) computer or a tablet (or similar handheld computer). It does not include equipment with some embedded computing abilities, such as smart TV sets or cellphones.

Purpose:

ICT skills determine the effective use of information and communication technology. The lack of such skills continues to be one of the key barriers keeping people, and in particular women, from fully benefitting from the potential of information and communication technologies.



Target 4.4 By 2030, substantially increase the number of youth and adults who have relevant skills, including technical and vocational skills, for employment, decent jobs and entrepreneurship

		SDG4 4.4.1	
Year	Proportion of youth and adults with information and communications technology (ICT) skills, by type of skill Who have copied or moved a file or folder	Proportion of youth and adults with information and communications technology (ICT) skills, by type of skill Who have connected and installed new devices	Proportion of youth and adults with information and communications technology (ICT) skills, by type of skill Who have created electronic presentations with presentation software
Lesotho	yadult.porcentlCTskill.copi	yadult.porcentlCTskill.connec	yadult.porcentlCTskill.creat
2010	m	m	m
2011	m	m	m
2012	m	m	m
2013	m	m	m
2014	m	m	m
2015	m	m	m
2016	m	m	m

Sources: Eurostat and ITU

Legend

m: data not reported / not deemed publishable

0 : nil or negligible









4.5.1 Parity indices (female/male, rural/urban, bottom/top wealth quintiles and others such as disability status, indigenous peoples and conflict-affected, as data become available) for all education indicators on this list that can be disaggregated

Definition:

Parity indices require data for the specific groups of interest. They represent the ratio of the indicator value for one group to that of the other. Typically, the likely more disadvantaged group is the numerator. A value of exactly 1 indicates parity between the two groups.

Purpose:

To measure the general level of disparity between two sub-populations of interest with regard to a given indicator.

Additional information http://sdg4monitoring.uis.unesco.org http://tellmaps.com/sdg4/#!/topic/TARGETS



Target 4.5 By 2030, eliminate gender disparities in education and ensure equal access to all levels of education and vocational training for the vulnerable, including persons with disabilities, indigenous peoples and children in vulnerable situations

				SE)G	4 4.5.1				
Year	Proportion of children and young people (a) in grades 2/3 of primary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (i) reading Parity Index (Girls/Boys)	Proportion of children and young people (b) at the end of primary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (i) reading Parity Index (Girls/Boys)	urce	Proportion of children and young people (c) at the end of lower secondary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (i) reading Parity Index (Girls/Boys)		Proportion of children and young people (a) in grades 2/3 of primary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (ii) mathematics Parity Index (Girls/Boys)	ource	Proportion of children and young people (b) at the end of primary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (ii) mathematics Parity Index (Girls/Boys)	urce	Proportion of children and young people (c) at the end of lower secondary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (ii) mathematics Parity Index (Girls/Boys)
Lesotho										
2010	m	0.94	4	m		m		0.98	4	m
2011	m	m		m		m		m		m
2012	m	m		m	1	m		m		m
2013	m	m		m	1	m		m		m
2014	m	m		m	1	m		m		m
2015 ³	m	m		m	1	m		m		m
2015 ⁶	m	m		m		l m		m		m

Sources: The sources for these indexes are the same as for those indicators that compose the indexes. ERCE⁵, PASEC¹, PIRLS², PISA³, SACMEQ⁴ and TIMSS⁶

	Proportion of children and young people (a) in grades 2/3 of primary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (i) reading Parity Index (Students of rural school/Students of urban school)	urce	Proportion of children and young people (a) in grades 2/3 of primary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (i) reading Parity Index (Low socioeconomic status / High socioeconomic status)	urce	Proportion of children and young people (b) at the end of primary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (i) reading Parity Index (Students of rural school/Students of urban school)	Source	Proportion of children and young people (b) at the end of primary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (i) reading Parity Index (Low socioeconomic status / High socioeconomic status)	urce	Proportion of children and young people (c) at the end of lower secondary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (i) reading Parity Index (Students of rural school/Students of urban school)	urce	Proportion of children and young people (c) at the end of lower secondary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (i) reading Parity Index (Low socioeconomic status / High socioeconomic status)	Source
Lesotho												
2010	m		m		0.87	4	0.81	4	m		m	٦
2011	m		m		m		m		m	1	m	
2012	m		m		m		m		m	1	m	
2013	m		m		m		m		m	1	m	
2014	m		m		m		m		m	1	m	
2015	m		m		m		m	1	m	1	m	
2016	m		m		m		m		m	1	m	

Sources: The sources for these indexes are the same as for those indicators that compose the indexes. ERCE⁵, PASEC¹, PIRLS², PISA³, SACMEQ⁴ and TIMSS⁶

Year		young people (a) in grades 2/3 of primary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (ii) mathematics	Proportion of children and young people (b) at the end of primary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (ii) mathematics Parity Index (Students of rural school/Students of urban school)	Proportion of children and young people (b) at the end of primary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (ii) mathematics Parity Index (Low socioeconomic status / High socioeconomic status)	lower secondary education	Proportion of children and young people (c) at the end of lower secondary education achieving at least a minimum proficiency level in (ii) mathematics Parity Index (Low socioeconomic status / High socioeconomic status)
Lesotho						
2010	m	m	0.77	4 0.70	4 m	m
2011	m	m	m	m	m	m
2012	m	m	m	m	m	m
2013	m	m	m	m	m	m
2014	m	m	m	m	m	m
2015	m	m	m	m	m	m
2016	m	m	m	m	m m	m

Sources:The sources for these indexes are the same as for those indicators that compose the indexes. ERCE5, PASEC1, PIRLS2, PISA3, SACMEQ4 and TIMSS6

Year	Percentage of population in a given age group achieving at least a fixed level of proficiency in functional (a) literacy by sex Gender Parity Index	Percentage of population in a big given age group achieving at least a fixed level of proficiency in functional (a) literacy by sex Geographic Location Parity Index	Percentage of population in a given age group achieving at least a fixed level of proficiency in functional (a) literacy by sex Parity Index (Low Socio-Economic Status/High Socio-Economic Status)	Percentage of population in a given age group achieving at least a fixed level of proficiency in functional (b) numeracy skills by sex Gender Parity Index	Qualified Percentage of population in a given age group achieving at least a fixed level of proficiency in functional (b) numeracy skills by sex Parity Index (Low Socio-Economic Status/High Socio-Economic Status)	Percentage of population in a given age group achieving at least a fixed level of proficiency in functional (b) numeracy skills by sex Geographic Location Parity Index
Lesotho	yadult.profiliteracy.sex	yadult.profiliteracy.loc	yadult.profiliteracy.sta	yadult.profinumeracy.sex	yadult.profinumeracy.sta	yadult.profinumeracy.loc
2010	m	m	m	m	m	m
2011	m	m	m	m	m	m
2012	m	m	m	m	m	m
2013	m	m	m	m	m	m
2014	m	m	m	m	m	m
2015	m	m	m	m	m	m
2016	m	m	m	m	m	m

Sources: PIAAC, STEP

Year	Percentage of teachers who are trained Pre-primary Gender Parity Index	alifier	Percentage of teachers who are trained Primary Gender Parity Index		Percentage of teachers who are trained Total secondary Gender Parity Index	Qualifier	Participation rate in organized learning (one year before the official primary entry age) Gender Parity Index	Qualifier
Lesotho	TRTP.02.GPI		TRTP.1.GPI		TRTP.2t3.GPI			
2010	m	1.38			m	•	m	
2011	m		1.34	**	m		m	ļ
2012	m		1.27		m		m	ļ
2013	m		1.21		m		1.06	ļ
2014	m		1.17		m		1.04	ļ
2015	1.00		1.15		1.00		1.03	ļ
2016	m		m		m		m	

Source: UIS

Legend

* : National estimation

** : UIS estimation

m : data not reported / not deemed publishable

z : category not applicable

0 : nil or negligible









4.6.1 Percentage of population in a given age group achieving at least a fixed level of proficiency in functional (a) literacy and (b) numeracy skills, by sex

Definition:

Percentage of youth (aged 15-24 years) and of adults (aged 15 years and above) have achieved or exceeded a given level of proficiency in (a) literacy and (b) numeracy. The minimum proficiency level will be measured relative to new common literacy and numeracy scales currently in development.

The *fixed level of proficiency* is the benchmark of basic knowledge in a domain (literacy or numeracy) measured through learning assessments. Currently, there are no common standards validated by the international community or countries. The indicator shows data published by each of the agencies and organizations specialised in cross-national learning assessments.

Purpose:

The indicator is a direct measure of the skill levels of youth and adults in the two areas: literacy and numeracy.

OF COST COST	Target 4.6 By 2030, ensure that all youth and a substantial proportion of adults, both men and women, achieve literacy and numeracy						
	S	DG4	4.6.1				
Year	Percentage of population in a given age group achieving at least a fixed level of proficiency in functional (a) literacy by sex	given age group achieving at least a fixed level of proficiency in functional (a)					
	MF		MF				
Lesotho	yadult.profiliteracy		yadult.profinumeracy				
2010	m		m				
2011	m		m				
2012	m		m				
2013	m	m					
2014	m m						
2015	m		m				
2016	m		m				

Sources: PIAAC, STEP

Legend

m: data not reported / not deemed publishable 0: nil or negligible









■ 4.7.1 Extent to which (i) global citizenship education and (ii) education for sustainable development, including gender equality and human rights, are mainstreamed at all levels in: (a) national education policies (b) curricula (c) teacher education and (d) student assessments.

- Definition:

- Extent to which countries mainstream global citizenship education (GCED) and education for sustainable development (ESD), including climate change education, human rights and gender equality, in their education systems, specifically in policies, curricula, teacher education and student assessment.
- It seeks to measure the quantity and quality of country inputs as well as whether the quality of GCED and ESD provision is adequate to fulfil their transformational potential.
- Education for Sustainable Development (ESD): empowers learners to take informed decisions and responsible actions for
 environmental integrity, economic viability and a just society, for present and future generations, while respecting cultural diversity.
 It is about lifelong learning, and is an integral part of quality education.
- Global Citizenship Education (GCED): nurtures respect for all, building a sense of belonging to a common humanity and helping learners become responsible and active global citizens. GCED aims to empower learners to assume active roles to face and resolve global challenges and to become proactive contributors to a more peaceful, tolerant, inclusive and secure world.

- Purpose:

■ The indicator provides important information on the level of national commitment towards the attainment of this target (for example whether political will/decisions and resources available have been translated into concrete policies, curricula, assessment) as well as the quality of the programmes provided, can predict the likelihood that desired student outcomes will be achieved. This indicator can be complemented by other thematic indicators on GCED and ESD that UNESCO proposes, which seek to assess learning outcomes more directly in the cognitive, socio-emotional and behavioural domains. The indicator could be used to assess inputs to formal as well as non-formal education systems.



Target 4.7 By 2030, ensure all learners acquire knowledge and skills needed to promote sustainable development, including among others through education for sustainable development and sustainable lifestyles, human rights, gender equality, promotion of a culture of peace and non-violence, global citizenship, and appreciation of cultural diversity and of culture's contribution to sustainable development

Year	SDG4 4.7.1 Extent to which (i) global citizenship education and (ii) education for sustainable development, including gender equality and human rights, are mainstreamed at all levels in:	Qualifier
	(a) national education policies, (b) curricula, (c) teacher education and (d) student assessment	
Lesotho	§§	
2010	m	
2011	m	
2012	m	
2013	m	
2014	m	
2015	m	
2016	m	

Source:

§§ : Please note that this indicator is still in development and will not be available until the end of 2017.





SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOAL 4

TARGET 4.a





- 4.a.1 Proportion of schools with access to: (a) electricity; (b) the Internet for pedagogical purposes; (c) computers for pedagogical purposes; (d) adapted infrastructure and materials for students with disabilities; (e) basic drinking water; (f) single-sex basic sanitation facilities; and (g) basic handwashing facilities (as per the WASH indicator definitions)
 - Definition:
- Percentage of schools by level of education (primary, lower secondary and upper secondary education) with access to the given facility or service.
- Electricity: Regularly and readily available sources of power (e.g. grid/mains connection, wind, water, solar and fuel-powered generator, etc.) that enable the adequate and sustainable use of ICT infrastructure for educational purposes.
- Internet for pedagogical purposes: Internet that is available for enhancing teaching and learning and is accessible by pupils. Internet is defined as a worldwide interconnected computer network, which provides pupils access to a number of communication services including the World Wide Web and carries e-mail, news, entertainment and data files, irrespective of the device used (i.e. not assumed to be only via a computer) and thus can also be accessed by mobile telephone, tablet, PDA, games machine, digital TV etc.). Access can be via a fixed narrowband, fixed broadband, or via mobile network.
- Computers for pedagogical use: Use of computers to support course delivery or independent teaching and learning needs. This may include activities using computers or the Internet to meet information needs for research purposes; develop presentations; perform hands-on exercises and experiments; share information; and participate in online discussion forums for educational purposes. A computer is a programmable electronic device that can store, retrieve and process data, as well as share information in a highly-structured manner. It performs high-speed mathematical or logical operations according to a set of instructions or algorithms. Computers include the following types:
- A desktop computer usually remains fixed in one place; normally the user is placed in front of it, behind the keyboard;
- A laptop computer is small enough to carry and usually enables the same tasks as a desktop computer; it includes notebooks and netbooks but does not include tablets and similar handheld devices; and
- A tablet (or similar handheld computer) is a computer that is integrated into a flat touch screen, operated by touching the screen rather than using a physical keyboard.
- Adapted infrastructure is defined as any built environment related to education facilities that are accessible to all users, including those with different types of disability, to be able to gain access to use and exit from them. Accessibility includes ease of independent approach, entry, evacuation and/or use of a building and its services and facilities (such as water and sanitation), by all of the building's potential users with an assurance of individual health, safety and welfare during the course of those activities.
- Adapted materials include learning materials and assistive products that enable students and teachers with disabilities/functioning limitations to access learning and to participate fully in the school environment. Accessible learning materials include textbooks, instructional materials, assessments and other materials that are available and provided in appropriate formats such as audio, braille, sign language and simplified formats that can be used by students and teachers with disabilities/functioning limitations.
- Basic drinking water is defined as a functional drinking water source (MDG 'improved' categories) on or near the premises and water points accessible to all users during school hours.
- Basic sanitation facilities are defined as functional sanitation facilities (MDG 'improved' categories) separated for males and females on or near the premises.
- Basic handwashing facilities are defined as functional handwashing facilities, with soap and water available to all girls and boys.
 - Purpose:
- The indicator measures access in schools to key basic services and facilities necessary to ensure a safe and effective learning environment for all students.

Additional information



Target 4.a Build and upgrade education facilities that are child, disability and gender sensitive and provide safe, non-violent, inclusive and effective learning environments for all

		SDG4 4.a.1							
Year	Percentage of primary schools with access to: (a) electricity (%) Primary	Percentage of lower secondary schools with access to: (a) electricity (%) Lower secondary	Percentage of upper secondary schools with access to: (a) electricity (%) Upper secondary Percentage of upper secondary schools with access to: (a) electricity (%) Total secondary	Qualifier					
Lesotho	SchBSP.1.Pu.Welec	SchBSP.2.Pu.Welec	SchBSP.3.Pu.Welec SchBSP.2t3.Pu.Weled	,					
2010	10.8	48.5	m m						
2011	m	m	m m						
2012	m	m	m m						
2013	m	m	m m						
2014	m	m	m m						
2015	13.5	m	m m						
2016	m	m	m m						

	SDG4 4.a.1							
Year	Proportion of schools with access to: (b) Internet for pedagogical purposes; Primary	Qualifier	Proportion of schools with access to: (b) Internet for pedagogical purposes; Lower secondary	ier	Proportion of schools with access to: (b) Internet for pedagogical purposes; Upper secondary	Qualifier	Proportion of schools with access to: (b) Internet for pedagogical purposes; Total secondary	Qualifier
Lesotho	SchBSP.1.Pu.WIntern		SchBSP.2.Pu.WIntern		SchBSP.3.Pu.Wintern		SchBSP.2t3.Pu.Winter	
2010	m		m		m		m	
2011	m		m		m		m	
2012	m		m		m		m	
2013	m		m		m		m	
2014	m		m		m		m	
2015	m		m		m		m	
2016	m		m		m		m	

		SDG4 4.a.1								
Year	Proportion of schools with access to: (c) computers for pedagogical purposes; Primary	Qualifier	Proportion of schools with access to: (c) computers for pedagogical purposes; Lower secondary	er	Proportion of schools with access to: (c) computers for pedagogical purposes; Upper secondary	Qualifier	Proportion of schools with access to: (c) computers for pedagogical purposes; Total secondary	Qualifier		
Lesotho	SchBSP.1.Pu.Wcomput		SchBSP.2.Pu.Wcomput		SchBSP.3.Pu.Wcomput		SchBSP.3.Pu.Wcomput			
2010	m		m		m		m			
2011	m		m		m		m			
2012	m		m		m		m			
2013	m		47		m		m			
2014	m		m		m		m			
2015	m		m		m		m			
2016	m		m		m		m			

	SDG4 4.a.1							
Year	Proportion of schools with access to: (d) adapted infrastructure and materials for students with disabilities; Primary	Qualifier	Proportion of schools with access to: (d) adapted infrastructure and materials for students with disabilities; Lower Secondary	Qualifier	Proportion of schools with access to: (d) adapted infrastructure and materials for students with disabilities; Upper Secondary	Qualifier	Proportion of schools with access to: (d) adapted infrastructure and materials for students with disabilities; Total Secondary	Qualifier
Lesotho	§ §		88		§§		§§	
2010	m		m		m		m	
2011	m		m		m		m	
2012	m	ſ	CC - Diagon mate that	41.:	a indicator is atill in		m	
2013	m			§§ : Please note that this indicator is still in			m	
2014	m		development and will not be available until the end of 2017.				m	
2015	m	l	the end of 2017.				m	
2016	m		m		m		m	

		SDG4 4.a.1							
Year	Percentage of primary schools with access to :(e) basic drinking water (%)	Percentage of lower secondary schools with access to: (e) basic drinking water (%)	Percentage of upper secondary schools with access to: (e) basic drinking water (%)	Percentage of secondary schools with access to: (e) basic drinking water (%)					
Lesotho	SchBSP.1.Pu.WPoWat	SchBSP.2.Pu.WPoWat	SchBSP.3.Pu.WPoWat	SchBSP.2t3.Pu.WPoW at					
2010	64.8	58.3	m	m					
2011	m	m	m	m					
2012	m	m	m	m					
2013	m	m	m	m					
2014	m	m	m	m					
2015	100	m	m	m					
2016	m	m	m	m					

	SDG4 4.a.1							
Year	Percentage of primary schools with :(f) single-sex basic sanitation facilities (%)		Percentage of upper secondary schools with :(f) single-sex basic sanitation facilities (%)	Percentage of secondary schools with :(f) single-sex basic sanitation facilities (%)				
Lesotho	SchBSP.1.Pu.WSToil	SchBSP.2.Pu.WSToil	SchBSP.3.Pu.WSToil	SchBSP.2t3.Pu.WSToil				
2009	m	m	m	m				
2010	m	m	m	m				
2011	m	m	m	m				
2012	m	m	m	m				
2013	m	m	m	m				
2014	m	m	m	m				
2015	96.1	m	m	m				
2016	m	m	m	m				

	SDG4 4.a.1							
Year	Primary school with :(g) basic handwashing facilities (as per the WASH indicator definitions)	Qualifier	Lower secondary school with :(g) basic handwashing facilities (as per the WASH indicator definitions)	Qualifier	Upper secondary school with :(g) basic handwashing facilities (as per the WASH indicator definitions)	Qualifier	Secondary school with :(g) basic handwashing facilities (as per the WASH indicator definitions)	
Lesotho	SchBSP.1.Pu.WHF		SchBSP.2.Pu.WHF		SchBSP.3.Pu.WHF		SchBSP.2t3.Pu.WHF	
2010	m		m		m		m	
2011	m		m		m		m	
2012	m		m		m		m	
2013	m		m		m		m	
2014	m		m		m		m	
2015	m		m		m		m	
2016	m		m		m		m	

Source: UIS

Legend

* : National estimation

** : UIS estimation

m : data not reported / not deemed publishable

z : category not applicable

0 : nil or negligible





SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOAL 4

TARGET 4.b





4.b.1 Volume of official development assistance flows for scholarships by sector and type of study

Definition:

Total net official development assistance (ODA) for scholarships in donor countries (types of aid E01). Data expressed in US dollars at the average annual exchange rate.

Purpose:

ODA is the accepted measure of international development co-operation. The data thus cover official international assistance to provide education places for developing country nationals in donor country educational institutions.

SCHOLAFSHES SCHOLAFSHES	Target 4.b By 2020, substantially expand globally the num of scholarships available to developing countries, in partileast developed countries, small island developing States African countries, for enrolment in higher education, incluvocational training, information and communications technology, technical, engineering and scientific program in developed countries and other developing countries	icular and uding
	SDG4 4.b.1	
Year	Volume of official development assistance flows for scholarships by sector and type of study (Constant Prices - 2014 USD)	Qualifier
Lesotho	odaflow.volumescholarship	
2010	539014	
2011	1050498	
2012	681631	
2013	1021781	
2014	660925	
2015	m	
2016	m	
2017	m	

Sources: Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD)

Legend

m: data not reported / not deemed publishable 0: nil or negligible





SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOAL 4

TARGET 4.c





4.c.1 Proportion of teachers in: (a) pre-primary education; (b) primary education; (c) lower secondary education; and (d) upper secondary education who have received at least the minimum organized teacher training (e.g. pedagogical training) pre-service or in-service required for teaching at the relevant level in a given country, by sex

Definition:

Percentage of teachers by level of education taught (pre-primary, primary, lower secondary and upper secondary education) who have received at least the minimum organized pedagogical teacher training pre-service and in-service required for teaching at the relevant level in a given country. Ideally the indicator should be calculated separately for public and private institutions.

Purpose:

■ Teachers play a key role in ensuring the quality of education provided. Ideally all teachers should receive adequate, appropriate and relevant pedagogical training to teach at the chosen level of education and be academically well-qualified in the subject(s) they are expected to teach. This indicator measures the share of the teaching work force which is pedagogically well-trained.

http://sdg4monitoring.uis.unesco.org http://tellmaps.com/sdg4/#!/topic/TARGETS



Target 4.c By 2030, substantially increase the supply of qualified teachers, including through international cooperation for teacher training in developing countries, especially least developed countries and small island developing States

	SDG4 4.c.1					
Year	Percentage of trained teachers, Pre-primary		Percentage of trained teachers, Preprimary,	Qualifier	Percentage of trained teachers, Preprimary,	Qualifier
	MF		М		F	
Lesotho	TRTP.02		TRTP.02.M		TRTP.02.F	
2010	m		m		m	
2011	m		m		m	
2012	m		m		m	
2013	m		m		m	
2014	m		m		m	
2015	100		100		100	
2016	m		m		m	

	SDG4 4.c.1						
Year	Percentage of trained teachers, Primary MF	Qualifier	Percentage of trained teachers, Primary,	Qualifier	Percentage of trained teachers, Primary,	Qualifier	
Lesotho	TRTP.1		TRTP.1.M		TRTP.1.F		
2010	63.4		49.2		67.7		
2011	66.5		52.6	**	70.7	**	
2012	67.5		56.1		71		
2013	72		62		75.3		
2014	75.8		66.9		78.6		
2015	79.2		71.3		81.7		
2016	m		m		m		

			SDG4 4.c.1				
Year	Percentage of trained teachers, Lower secondary	Qualifier	Percentage of trained teachers, Lower secondary,	Qualifier	Percentage of trained teachers, Lower secondary,	Qualifier	
Lesotho	TRTP.2		TRTP.2.M		TRTP.2.F		
2010	m		m		m		
2010	l m		m		m		
2012	m		m		m		
2013	m		m		m		
2014	m		m		m		
2015	m		m		m		
2016	m		m		m		

	SDG4 4.c.1					
Year	Percentage of trained teachers, Upper secondary	Qualifier	Percentage of trained teachers, Upper secondary,	Qualifier	Percentage of trained teachers, Upper secondary,	Qualifier
Lesotho	TRTP.3		TRTP.3.M		TRTP.3.F	
2010	m		m		m	
2011	m		m		m	
2012	m		m		m	
2013	m		m		m	
2014	m		m		m	
2015	m		m		m	
2016	m		m		m	

	SDG4 4.c.1						
Year	Percentage of trained teachers, Total secondary MF	Qualifier	Percentage of trained teachers, Total secondary,	Qualifier	Percentage of trained teachers, Total secondary,	Qualifier	
Lesotho	TRTP.2t3		TRTP.2t3.M		TRTP.2t3.F		
2010	m		m		m		
2011	m		m		m		
2012	m		m		m		
2013	m		m		m		
2014	m		m		m		
2015	100		100		100		
2016	m		m		m		

Source: UIS

Legend

* : National estimation ** : UIS estimation

m: data not reported / not deemed publishable z: category not applicable 0: nil or negligible